

Values of the Euler phi function not divisible by a given odd prime

Blair K. Spearman and Kenneth S. Williams

Abstract. An asymptotic formula is given for the number of integers $n \leq x$ for which $\phi(n)$ is not divisible by a given odd prime.

1. Introduction

We denote the set of natural numbers by \mathbf{N} and the set of integers by \mathbf{Z} . If $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ are not both 0, we denote the greatest common divisor of a and b by (a, b) . We let ϕ denote Euler's phi function so that for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we have

$$(1) \quad \phi(n) := \text{card}\{m \in \mathbf{N} \mid 1 \leq m \leq n \text{ and } (m, n) = 1\} = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

where the product is taken over the distinct primes p dividing n . Throughout this paper p denotes a prime. It is well known that for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$2 \nmid \phi(n) \iff n = 1, 2.$$

We are interested in those $n \in \mathbf{N}$ for which $q \nmid \phi(n)$, where q is a fixed odd prime. We set

$$(2) \quad E_q(x) = \text{card}\{n \leq x \mid q \nmid \phi(n)\}.$$

In 1990 Erdős, Granville, Pomerance and Spiro gave an upper bound for $E_q(x)$, which is valid for all sufficiently large x , see [1, Equation (4.2) with $k=1$, p. 191].

Both authors were supported by research grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

In this paper we give an asymptotic formula for $E_q(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, see the theorem in Section 4. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. For q a fixed odd prime, we show that

$$E_q(x) = e(q)x(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $e(q)$ is given in Definition 4.1 and the constant implied by the O -symbol depends only on q and ε . In 2002 Luca and Pomerance [2, Lemma 2, p. 114] proved the related result: For some constant $c > 0$, for almost all n , $\phi(n)$ is divisible by all prime powers $p^a \leq c \log \log n / \log \log \log n$.

2. Notation

We denote the sets of real numbers and complex numbers by \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{C} , respectively. As usual Γ denotes the gamma function and γ is Euler's constant. If K is an algebraic number field we write $h(K)$ for the class number of K and $R(K)$ for the regulator of K , see for example [3, pp. 97, 106]. Throughout this paper q denotes a fixed odd prime. We set

$$(3) \quad K_q := \mathbf{Q}(e^{2\pi i/q}) \subseteq \mathbf{C},$$

so that K_q is a cyclotomic field with $[K_q : \mathbf{Q}] = \phi(q) = q - 1$. For brevity we set

$$(4) \quad h(q) := h(K_q) \quad \text{and} \quad R(q) := R(K_q).$$

We also let

$$(5) \quad \omega := e^{2\pi i/(q-1)} \in \mathbf{C},$$

so that $\omega^{q-1} = 1$. The principal character $\chi_0 \pmod{q}$ is defined as follows: for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$(6) \quad \chi_0(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{q}. \end{cases}$$

Let g be a primitive root \pmod{q} . For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ the index $\text{ind}_g(n)$ of n with respect to g is defined modulo $q-1$ by

$$n \equiv g^{\text{ind}_g(n)} \pmod{q}.$$

We define a character $\chi_g \pmod{q}$ as follows: for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ we set

$$(7) \quad \chi_g(n) = \begin{cases} \omega^{\text{ind}_g n}, & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{q}. \end{cases}$$

There are exactly $\phi(q) = q - 1$ characters \pmod{q} . They are

$$(8) \quad \chi_0, \chi_g, \chi_g^2, \dots, \chi_g^{q-2},$$

where $\chi_g^{q-1} = \chi_0$.

3. The constant $C(q)$

It is convenient to define the following constant involving χ_g .

Definition 3.1. Let q be an odd prime. Let g be a primitive root (mod q). Let $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-2\}$. We define

$$(9) \quad C(q, r, \chi_g) := \prod_{\chi_g(p)=\omega^r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r \cdot q-1)}} \right),$$

where the product is taken over all primes p such that $\chi_g(p)=\omega^r$.

Note that the prime q is not included in the product as $\chi_g(q)=0$ by (7). As $1 \leq (r, q-1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ for $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-2\}$ we have

$$(10) \quad \frac{q-1}{(r, q-1)} \geq 2$$

so that the infinite product in (9) converges. Let h be another primitive root (mod q). Then there exists an integer s such that

$$h \equiv g^s \pmod{q}, \quad (s, q-1) = 1.$$

Let t be an integer such that $st \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Then, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ with $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, we have

$$\text{ind}_h(n) \equiv t \text{ind}_g(n) \pmod{q-1}$$

so that

$$\chi_h(n) = \omega^{\text{ind}_h(n)} = \omega^{t \text{ind}_g(n)} = (\chi_g(n))^t = \chi_g^t(n),$$

that is $\chi_h = \chi_g^t$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} C(q, r, \chi_h)^{(r, q-1)} &= \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} \prod_{\chi_h(p)=\omega^r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r \cdot q-1)}} \right)^{(r, q-1)} \\ &= \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} \prod_{\chi_g^t(p)=\omega^r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r \cdot q-1)}} \right)^{(r, q-1)} \\ &= \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} \prod_{\chi_g(p)=\omega^{rt}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r \cdot q-1)}} \right)^{(r, q-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} \prod_{\chi_g(p)=\omega^{rs}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(rs, q-1)}} \right)^{(rs, q-1)} \\
 &= \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} \prod_{\chi_g(p)=\omega^r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r, q-1)}} \right)^{(r, q-1)} \\
 &= \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} C(q, r, \chi_g)^{(r, q-1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the product

$$(11) \quad \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} C(q, r, \chi_g)^{(r, q-1)}$$

does not depend on the choice of primitive root g . Thus we can make the following definition.

Definition 3.2. Let q be an odd prime. We define the constant $C(q)$ by

$$(12) \quad C(q) := \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} C(q, r, \chi_g)^{(r, q-1)}.$$

We take this opportunity to determine $C(3)$. It is convenient to define the constant $k_{a,b}(m)$ by

$$(13) \quad k_{a,b}(m) := \prod_{p \equiv b \pmod{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^m} \right),$$

where $a \in \mathbf{N}$ and $b \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ are such that $0 \leq b < a$ and $(a, b) = 1$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$ is such that $m \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.1. $C(3) = k_{3,2}(2)$.

Proof. Let $q = 3$. Then $\omega = -1$, $r = 1$, $g = 2$ and $\chi_2(n) = (-3/n)$. Hence

$$C(3) = C(3, 1, \chi_2) = \prod_{\chi_2(p)=-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) = \prod_{p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) = k_{3,2}(2),$$

as asserted. \square

4. Statement of main result

We begin with a definition.

Definition 4.1. Let q be an odd prime. We define

$$(14) \quad e(q) := \frac{(q+1)(q-1)^{(q-2)/(q-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{q-1}\right)}{2^{(q-3)/2(q-1)} q^{3(q-2)/2(q-1)} \pi^{3/2} (h(q)R(q)C(q))^{1/(q-1)}}.$$

Before stating our main result, we give the value of $e(3)$.

Lemma 4.1.

$$e(3) = \frac{2^{7/2}}{3^{9/4}} k_{3,1}(2)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We have $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$, $C(3) = k_{3,2}(2)$ and $h(3) = R(3) = 1$, so that Definition 4.1 with $q=3$ gives

$$e(3) = \frac{2^{5/2}}{3^{3/4} \pi k_{3,2}(2)^{1/2}}.$$

As

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{3^2}\right) k_{3,1}(2) k_{3,2}(2) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$$

we have

$$k_{3,2}(2) = \frac{27}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{k_{3,1}(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad e(3) = \frac{2^{7/2}}{3^{9/4}} k_{3,1}(2)^{1/2},$$

as asserted. \square

Our main result is the following asymptotic formula for $E_q(x)$.

Theorem. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. For q an odd prime, we have

$$E_q(x) = e(q)x(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where the constant implied by the O -symbol depends only on q and ε , and $e(q)$ is given in Definition 4.1.

This theorem is proved in Section 7 after some preliminary results are given in Sections 5 and 6.

5. Preliminary results

The following results will be used in Sections 6 and 7.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and let q be an odd prime. Then*

$$q \nmid \phi(n) \iff n = \prod_{p \neq 1 \pmod{q}} p^{a(p)} \text{ or } n = q \prod_{p \neq 1 \pmod{q}} p^{a(p)},$$

where the product is taken over all primes $p \neq q$ with $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and the $a(p)$ are non-negative integers.

Proof. If

$$n = q^a \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{a_j},$$

where a and t are non-negative integers, the p_j are distinct primes $\neq q$, and the a_j are non-negative integers, then by (1)

$$\phi(n) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{a_j-1} (p_j - 1), & \text{if } a = 0, \\ q^{a-1} (q - 1) \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{a_j-1} (p_j - 1), & \text{if } a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence $q \nmid \phi(n) \iff a \in \{0, 1\}$ and $q \nmid p_j - 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, t$), which proves Proposition 5.1. \square

Next we define the set A by

$$(15) \quad A = \{m \in \mathbf{N} \mid p \text{ (prime)} \mid m \Rightarrow p \neq q \text{ and } p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}\}.$$

The function $A(x)$ is defined for $x \in \mathbf{R}$ by

$$(16) \quad A(x) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ m \in A}} 1.$$

Proposition 5.2. *For $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and q an odd prime we have*

$$E_q(x) = A(x) + A\left(\frac{x}{q}\right).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 5.1. \square

Proposition 5.3. (Wirsing's theorem) *Let $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be multiplicative with $f(n) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that there exist constants c_1 and c_2 with $c_1 > 0$ and $0 < c_2 < 2$ such that*

$$0 \leq f(p^k) \leq c_1 c_2^k,$$

for all primes p and all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, and also that there is a constant τ with $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) = \tau \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1)\right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right).$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. See [7, Satz 1, p. 76]. \square

Proposition 5.4. (Odami's theorem) *Let $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be multiplicative with $f(n) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that there exist constants $a_1 > 1$ and $a_2 > 1$ such that*

$$0 \leq f(p^k) \leq a_1 k^{a_2},$$

for all primes p and all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, and also that there are constants τ and β with $\tau > 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) = \tau \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1+\beta}}\right),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then there is a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)n^{-1} = B(\log x)^\tau + O((\log x)^{\tau-\beta}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Further, for each fixed $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)n^{\lambda-1} = \lambda^{-1} B x^\lambda \tau (\log x)^{\tau-1} + O(x^\lambda (\log x)^{\tau-1-\beta}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. See [4, Theorem II, p. 205; Theorem III, p. 206; Note added in proof, p. 216]. \square

From Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 we obtain the following corollary.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be multiplicative with $0 \leq f(n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that there are constants τ and β with $\tau > 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ such that*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) = \tau \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1+\beta}}\right).$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log x)^\tau} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

exists, and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = Ex(\log x)^{\tau-1} + O(x(\log x)^{\tau-1-\beta}),$$

with

$$E = \frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log x)^\tau} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right).$$

Proof. The conditions of Odoni's theorem are met (with $a_1 = a_2 = 2$) so by (17) with $\lambda = 1$ there is a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = Bx\tau(\log x)^{\tau-1} + O(x(\log x)^{\tau-1-\beta}).$$

The conditions of Wirsing's theorem are also met (with $c_1 = c_2 = 1$) so that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1)\right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right).$$

Equating the two expressions for $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$, and dividing by $x(\log x)^{\tau-1}$, we obtain

$$B\tau + O((\log x)^{-\beta}) = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} + o(1)\right) (\log x)^{-\tau} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right).$$

Letting $x \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\log x)^{-\tau} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right) = B\tau\Gamma(\tau)e^{\gamma\tau}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = Ex(\log x)^{\tau-1} + O(x(\log x)^{\tau-1-\beta}),$$

with

$$E = B\tau = \frac{e^{-\gamma\tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\log x)^{-\tau} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots \right),$$

as asserted. \square

Proposition 5.6. *Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $l \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $1 \leq l \leq k$ and $(k, l) = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv l \pmod{k}}} 1 = \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. This is the prime number theorem for the arithmetic progression $\{kr+l \mid r=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, see for example [5, p. 139]. \square

Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Let χ be a character $(\text{mod } k)$. Let χ_0 be the principal character $(\text{mod } k)$. The Dirichlet L -series corresponding to χ is given by

$$(18) \quad L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s},$$

where $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbf{C}$. For $\chi \neq \chi_0$, the series in (18) converges for $\sigma > 0$ and

$$(19) \quad L(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \right)^{-1} \neq 0.$$

For each character $\chi \pmod{k}$ we define a completely multiplicative function $k_\chi(n)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) by setting, for primes p ,

$$(20) \quad k_\chi(p) = p \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-\chi(p)} \right].$$

The Dirichlet series corresponding to k_χ is given by

$$(21) \quad K(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_\chi(n)}{n^s},$$

where $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbf{C}$. It is shown in [6] that the series in (21) converges absolutely for $\sigma > 0$ and that

$$K(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_\chi(n)}{n} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{k_\chi(p)}{p} \right)^{-1} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\chi(p)} \neq 0.$$

Proposition 5.7. *Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $l \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $1 \leq l \leq k$ and $(l, k) = 1$. Then*

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv l \pmod{k}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = A(l, k)(\log x)^{-1/\phi(k)} + O((\log x)^{-1/\phi(k)-1}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$A(l, k) = \left(e^{-\gamma} \frac{k}{\phi(k)} \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left(\frac{K(1, \chi)}{L(1, \chi)} \right)^{\bar{\chi}(l)} \right)^{1/\phi(k)}.$$

Proof. This proposition is Mertens' theorem for the arithmetic progression $\{kr+l|r=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, which was first proved by Williaus [6] in 1974. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let $k, m, r \in \mathbf{N}$. Let ω_k be a primitive k -th root of unity. Then*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_k^{jr}}{m}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{m^{k/(k,r)}}\right)^{(k,r)}.$$

Proof. Let $k, r \in \mathbf{N}$. Set

$$h = \frac{k}{(k, r)} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{r}{(k, r)}.$$

As $(h, s) = 1$ the h -th roots of unity are ω_h^{js} , $j = 0, 1, \dots, h-1$. Thus ω_k^{jr} , $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ comprise the h -th roots of unity each repeated k/h times. Hence

$$(x^h - 1)^{k/h} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (x - \omega_h^{js}).$$

Taking $x = m \in \mathbf{N}$, and dividing both sides by m^k , we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{m^h}\right)^{k/h} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_h^{js}}{m}\right) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_k^{jr}}{m}\right),$$

which is the asserted result. \square

6. Estimation of $\prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} (1 - 1/p)$

We begin with the following result.

Proposition 6.1.

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q-2} K(1, \chi_j) = \frac{1}{C(q)}.$$

Proof. By Definition 3.1 we have

$$\prod_{r=1}^{q-2} C(q, r, \chi_g)^{-(r, q-1)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \chi_g(p) = \omega^r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r, q-1)}} \right)^{-(r, q-1)}.$$

Next, as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \omega^{jr} = \begin{cases} q-1, & \text{if } r=0, \\ 0, & \text{if } r=1, 2, \dots, q-2, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \chi_g(p) = \omega^r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r, q-1)}} \right)^{-(r, q-1)} \\ = \prod_{r=0}^{q-2} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \chi_g(p) = \omega^r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r, q-1)}} \right)^{-(r, q-1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \omega^{jr}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.8 with $m=p$, $k=q-1$ and $\omega = \omega_{q-1}$ we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r, q-1)}} \right)^{(r, q-1)} = \prod_{j=0}^{q-2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^{jr}}{p} \right)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{r=0}^{q-2} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \chi_g(p) = \omega^r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(q-1)/(r, q-1)}} \right)^{-(r, q-1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \omega^{jr}} \\ = \prod_{r=0}^{q-2} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \chi_g(p) = \omega^r}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^{jr}}{p} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\omega^{jr}} \\ = \prod_{j=1}^{q-2} \prod_{r=0}^{q-2} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \chi_g(p) = \omega^r}} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^{jr}}{p} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\omega^{jr}} \\ = \prod_{j=1}^{q-2} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_g^j(p)}{p} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\chi_g^j(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by Definition 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C(q)} &= \prod_{r=1}^{q-2} C(q, r, \chi_g)^{-(r, q-1)} = \prod_{j=1}^{q-2} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_g^j(p)}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\chi_g^j(p)} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{q-2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi_g^j(p)}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\chi_g^j(p)} = \prod_{j=1}^{q-2} K(1, \chi_g^j), \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. \square

Proposition 6.2.

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q-2} L(1, \chi_g^j) = 2^{(q-3)/2} q^{-q/2} \pi^{(q-1)/2} h(q) R(q).$$

Proof. The cyclotomic field K_q is a totally complex field which contains exactly $2q$ roots of unity, namely $\{\pm 1, \pm \omega_q, \pm \omega_q^2, \dots, \pm \omega_q^{q-1}\}$. Hence, by the class number formula for abelian fields applied to the cyclotomic field K_q , we have

$$h(q)R(q) = 2q |d(K_q)|^{1/2} 2^{-(q-1)/2} \pi^{-(q-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^{q-2} L(1, \chi_g^j),$$

where $d(K_q)$ is the discriminant of K_q , see for example [3, Theorem 8.4, p. 436]. Now the discriminant of K_q is given by

$$d(K_q) = (-1)^{q(q-1)/2} q^{q-2},$$

see for example [3, Theorem 2.9, p. 63]. Hence

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q-2} L(1, \chi_g^j) = 2^{(q-3)/2} q^{-q/2} \pi^{(q-1)/2} h(q) R(q),$$

as asserted. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Let q be an odd prime. Then*

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \lambda(q) (\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O((\log x)^{-q/(q-1)}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\lambda(q) = \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma} 2^{-(q-3)/2} q^{(q+2)/2} \pi^{-(q-1)/2}}{(q-1)h(q)R(q)C(q)} \right)^{1/(q-1)}.$$

Proof. By Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 we obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q-2} \frac{K(1, \chi_g^j)}{L(1, \chi_g^j)} = \frac{2^{-(q-3)/2} q^{q/2} \pi^{-(q-1)/2}}{h(q)R(q)C(q)}.$$

By Proposition 5.7 with $k=q$ and $l=1$, we have

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \lambda(q)(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O((\log x)^{-q/(q-1)}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(q) &= A(1, q) = \left(e^{-\gamma} \frac{q}{q-1} \prod_{j=1}^{q-2} \frac{K(1, \chi_g^j)}{L(1, \chi_g^j)} \right)^{1/(q-1)} \\ &= \left(e^{-\gamma} \frac{q}{q-1} \frac{2^{-(q-3)/2} q^{q/2} \pi^{-(q-1)/2}}{h(q)R(q)C(q)} \right)^{1/(q-1)} \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma} 2^{-(q-3)/2} q^{(q+2)/2} \pi^{-(q-1)/2}}{(q-1)h(q)R(q)C(q)} \right)^{1/(q-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. \square

Proposition 6.4. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then*

$$A(x) = \alpha(q)x(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\alpha(q) = \frac{(q-1)^{(q-2)/(q-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{q-1}\right)}{2^{(q-3)/2(q-1)} q^{(q-4)/2(q-1)} \pi^{3/2} (h(q)R(q)C(q))^{1/(q-1)}}.$$

(The constant implied by the O -symbol depends only on q and ε .)

Proof. By (16) we have

$$A(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in A}} 1 = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n),$$

where

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $f(n)$ is a multiplicative function by (15). Moreover $0 \leq f(n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. By Proposition 5.6 we have

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f(p) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in A}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} 1 + O(1) = \frac{q-2}{q-1} \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by Proposition 5.5 with $\tau = (q-2)/(q-1)$ and $\beta = 1 - \varepsilon$, the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log x)^{(q-2)/(q-1)}} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \neq q \\ p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}$$

exists, say equal to $M(q)$, and

$$A(x) = \frac{e^{-\gamma(q-2)/(q-1)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q-2}{q-1}\right)} M(q) x (\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x (\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Now for $x \geq q$

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \neq q \\ p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

By Mertens' theorem we have

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = e^{-\gamma} (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{\log x},$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, so appealing to Proposition 6.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \neq q \\ p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) &= \frac{e^{-\gamma} (1 + o(1)) (\log x)^{-1}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda(q) (1 + o(1)) (\log x)^{-1/(q-1)}} \\ &= \frac{q e^{-\gamma}}{(q-1) \lambda(q)} (1 + o(1)) (\log x)^{-(q-2)/(q-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{(\log x)^{(q-2)/(q-1)}} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \neq q \\ p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = \frac{(q-1)e^{\gamma}\lambda(q)}{q} (1 + o(1)).$$

Hence

$$M(q) = \frac{(q-1)e^{\gamma}\lambda(q)}{q}.$$

Finally

$$A(x) = \frac{e^{\gamma/(q-1)} (q-1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q-2}{q-1}\right) q} \lambda(q) x (\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x (\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, so that as

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{q-2}{q-1}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{q-1}}$$

we have

$$\alpha(q) = \frac{e^{\gamma/(q-1)} (q-1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q-2}{q-1}\right) q} \lambda(q) = \frac{(q-1)^{(q-2)/(q-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{q-1}\right)}{2^{(q-3)/2(q-1)} q^{(q-4)/2(q-1)} \pi^{3/2} (h(q)R(q)C(q))^{1/(q-1)}}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 6.4. \square

7. Proof of the theorem

By Propositions 5.2 and 6.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_q(x) &= A(x) + A\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \\ &= \alpha(q)x(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}) \\ &\quad + \alpha(q)\frac{x}{q}\left(\log \frac{x}{q}\right)^{-1/(q-1)} + O\left(\frac{x}{q}\left(\log \frac{x}{q}\right)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}\right) \\ &= \alpha(q)x(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha(q)}{q}x((\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O((\log x)^{-q/(q-1)})) + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha(q) \left(1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) x(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}) \\
 &= e(q)x(\log x)^{-1/(q-1)} + O(x(\log x)^{-q/(q-1)+\varepsilon}),
 \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. \square

By Lemma 4.1 and the theorem (with $q=3$), the number of $n \leq x$ for which $3 \nmid \phi(n)$ is

$$\frac{2^{7/2}}{3^{9/4}} \left(\prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \right)^{1/2} x(\log x)^{-1/2} + O_\varepsilon(x(\log x)^{-3/2+\varepsilon}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

References

1. ERDŐS, P., GRANVILLE, A., POMERANCE, C. and SPIRO, C., On the normal behavior of the iterates of some arithmetic functions, in *Analytic Number Theory (Allerton Park, IL, 1989)*, pp. 165–204, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990.
2. LUCA, F. and POMERANCE, C., On some problems of Makowski–Schinzel and Erdős concerning the arithmetical functions ϕ and σ , *Colloq. Math.* **92** (2002), 111–130.
3. NARKIEWICZ, W., *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1990.
4. ODoni, R. W. K., A problem of Rankin on sums of powers of cusp-form coefficients, *J. London Math. Soc.* **44** (1991), 203–217.
5. PRACHAR, K., *Primzahlverteilung*, Springer, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg, 1957.
6. WILLIAMS, K. S., Mertens’ theorem for arithmetic progressions, *J. Number Theory* **6** (1974), 353–359.
7. WIRSING, E., Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen, *Math. Ann.* **143** (1961), 75–102.

Blair K. Spearman
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 University of British Columbia Okanagan
 Kelowna, BC
 Canada V1V 1V7

Kenneth S. Williams
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 Carleton University
 Ottawa, Ontario
 Canada K1S 5B6

Received May 18, 2004
in revised form December 15, 2004
published online August 3, 2006