

THE SIMPLEST ARITHMETIC PROOF OF JACOBI'S FOUR SQUARES THEOREM

BLAIR K. SPEARMAN and KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

(Received February 1, 2000)

Submitted by K. K. Azad

Abstract

A simple arithmetic proof is given of Jacobi's formula for the number of representations of a positive integer as the sum of four squares.

1. Introduction

Lagrange showed in 1770 that every positive integer n is the sum of four perfect squares. Jacobi [8, 9, 10] showed that the number $r_4(n)$ of representations of n as the sum of four squares is 8 times the sum of the divisors of n that are not multiples of 4, that is,

$$r_4(n) = 8(\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/4)), \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$ and $\sigma(n/4)$ is understood to be zero if $n/4$ is not an integer. Many proofs of (1) have been given, see for example [1], [2, p. 348], [3, p. 15], [5], [6, p. 314], [7], [12, p. 450]. Many proofs of Jacobi's formula (1) make use of the identity

$$\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} \right)^4 = 1 + 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(1 + (-x)^n)^2}, \quad |x| < 1,$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11E25.

Key words and phrases: Jacobi's four squares theorem.

or a variant of it, since the coefficient of x^n on the left hand side is $r_4(n)$.

We present here what we believe to be the simplest arithmetic proof of (1). Our starting point is the formula for the number $r_2(n)$ of representations of n as the sum of two perfect squares, namely

$$r_2(n) = 4 \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \equiv 1(2)}} (-1)^{(d-1)/2}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

where we have written $d \equiv 1(2)$ for $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. The formula (2) can be proved in an entirely elementary manner, see for example [4, p. 75], [11, p. 163].

We use the following notation

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1, \quad \tau_i = \tau_{i,4}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \equiv i \pmod{4}}} 1 \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 + \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 &= \tau(n), \quad \tau_0 = \tau(n/4), \\ \tau_2 &= \tau(n/2) - \tau(n/4), \quad r_2(n) = 4(\tau_1 - \tau_3). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For a real number x , we set

$$[x]^* = \begin{cases} [x], & \text{if } x \text{ is not an integer,} \\ x - 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer.} \end{cases}$$

2. Proof of (1)

Clearly,

$$r_4(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n r_2(k) r_2(n-k). \quad (4)$$

Since $r_2(0) = 1$, we can rewrite (4) as

$$r_4(n) - 2r_2(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} r_2(k) r_2(n-k). \quad (5)$$

Appealing to (2), (5) becomes

$$r_4(n) - 2r_2(n) = 16 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha|k \\ \alpha \equiv 1(2)}} (-1)^{(\alpha-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{b|n-k \\ b \equiv 1(2)}} (-1)^{(b-1)/2}. \tag{6}$$

Setting $k = \alpha A$ and $n - k = bB$ in (6), and noting that $(\alpha - 1)/2 + (b - 1)/2 = (\alpha - b)/2 \pmod{2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{16} (r_4(n) - 2r_2(n)) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b \equiv 1(2)}} (-1)^{(\alpha-b)/2}, \tag{7}$$

where the sum is over all positive integers α, b, A, B satisfying the stated conditions. Equation (7) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{16} (r_4(n) - 2r_2(n)) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b \equiv 1(2) \\ \alpha \equiv b(4)}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b \equiv 1(2) \\ \alpha \equiv -b(4)}} 1. \tag{8}$$

As

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b \equiv 1(2) \\ \alpha \equiv \pm b(4)}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv \pm b(4)}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b \equiv 0(2) \\ \alpha \equiv \pm b(4)}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv \pm b(4)}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b \equiv 0(2) \\ \alpha \equiv b(4)}} 1,$$

equation (8) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{16} (r_4(n) - 2r_2(n)) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b(4)}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha \equiv b(4)}} 1. \tag{9}$$

It is now convenient to define

$$A_1 = \sum_{\substack{4\alpha A + bB = n \\ A < B}} 1, A_2 = \sum_{\substack{4\alpha A + bB = n \\ A > B}} 1, A_3 = \sum_{\substack{4\alpha A + bB = n \\ A = B}} 1, \tag{10}$$

$$B_1 = \sum_{\substack{4\alpha A + bB = n \\ 4\alpha > b}} 1, B_2 = \sum_{\substack{4\alpha A + bB = n \\ 4\alpha < b}} 1, B_3 = \sum_{\substack{4\alpha A + bB = n \\ 4\alpha = b}} 1. \tag{11}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha = b(4)}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha > b \\ \alpha = b(4)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha < b \\ \alpha = b(4)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha = b \\ \alpha = b(4)}} 1 \\
 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha > b \\ \alpha = b(4)}} 1 + \sum_{\alpha(A+B) = n} 1 \\
 &= 2 \sum_{(4\alpha + b)A + bB = n} 1 + \sum_{\alpha | n} \left(\sum_{A+B = n/\alpha} 1 \right) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{4\alpha A + b(A+B) = n} 1 + \sum_{\alpha | n} \left(\frac{n}{\alpha} - 1 \right) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{4\alpha A + bB = n \\ A < B}} 1 + \sigma(n) - \tau(n) \\
 &= 2A_1 + \sigma(n) - \tau(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ \alpha = -b(4)}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ A < B \\ \alpha = -b(4)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ A > B \\ \alpha = -b(4)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ A = B \\ \alpha = -b(4)}} 1 \\
 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + bB = n \\ A < B \\ \alpha = -b(4)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{A(\alpha + b) = n \\ \alpha + b = 0(4)}} 1 \\
 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha A + b(A+B) = n \\ \alpha + b = 0(4)}} 1 + \sum_{c | n/4} \left(\sum_{\alpha + b = 4c} 1 \right) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{(a+b)A + bB = n \\ \alpha + b = 0(4)}} 1 + \sum_{c | n/4} (4c - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2 \sum_{\substack{4aA+bB=n \\ 4a>b}} 1 + 4\sigma(n/4) - \tau(n/4) \\
 &= 2B_1 + 4\sigma(n/4) - \tau(n/4).
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Hence, by (3), (9), (12) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{16}(r_4(n) - 2r_2(n)) &= 2(A_1 - B_1) + (\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/4)) - (\tau(n) - \tau(n/4)) \\
 &= 2(A_1 - B_1) + (\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/4)) - (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3).
 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling from (3) that $r_2(n) = 4(\tau_1 - \tau_3)$, we obtain

$$r_4(n) = 32(A_1 - B_1) + 16(\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/4)) - 8(\tau_1 + 2\tau_2 + 3\tau_3). \tag{14}$$

From (1) and (14) we see that it remains to show that

$$A_1 - B_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\tau_1 + 2\tau_2 + 3\tau_3) - \frac{1}{4}(\sigma(n) - 4\sigma(n/4)). \tag{15}$$

From (10) and (11), we have

$$A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = B_1 + B_2 + B_3$$

and

$$A_2 = \sum_{4a(A+B)+bB=n} 1 = \sum_{4aA+(4a+b)B=n} 1 = \sum_{\substack{4aA+bB=n \\ 4a<b}} 1 = B_2$$

so that

$$A_1 - B_1 = B_3 - A_3.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_3 &= \sum_{(4a+b)A=n} 1 = \sum_{A|n} \left(\sum_{4a+b=n/A} 1 \right) \\
 &= \sum_{A|n} \left[\frac{n}{4A} \right]^* = \sum_{A|n} \left[\frac{A}{4} \right]^*
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{A|n \\ A=0(4)}} \left(\frac{A}{4} - 1 \right) + \sum_{\substack{A|n \\ A=1(4)}} \frac{A-1}{4} + \sum_{\substack{A|n \\ A=2(4)}} \frac{A-2}{4} + \sum_{\substack{A|n \\ A=3(4)}} \frac{A-3}{4} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sigma(n) - \tau_0 - \frac{1}{4} \tau_1 - \frac{1}{2} \tau_2 - \frac{3}{4} \tau_3
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3 &= \sum_{4\alpha(A+B)=n} 1 = \sum_{\alpha|n/4} \left(\sum_{A+B=n/4\alpha} 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha|n/4} \left(\frac{n}{4\alpha} - 1 \right) = \sigma(n/4) - \tau(n/4) \\
&= \sigma(n/4) - \tau_0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (15) and thus of Jacobi's four squares theorem.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, S. B. Ekhad and D. Zeilberger, A short proof of Jacobi's formula for the number of representations of an integer as a sum of four squares, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 100 (1993), 274-276.
- [2] P. Bachmann, *Niedere Zahlentheorie*, Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, New York, 1968.
- [3] B. C. Berndt, Ramanujan's theory of theta-functions, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes 1 (1993), 1-63.
- [4] L. E. Dickson, *Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1957.
- [5] E. Grosswald, *Representations of Integers as Sums of Squares*, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th ed., Oxford University Press, 1960.
- [7] L. K. Hua, *Introduction to Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [8] C. G. J. Jacobi, Note sur la decomposition d'un nombre donné en quatre carrés, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 3 (1828), 191; *Werke*, Vol. I, p. 247.
- [9] C. G. J. Jacobi, *Fundamenta Nova Theoriae Functionum Ellipticarum*, 1829; *Werke*, Vol. I, pp. 49-239.

THE SIMPLEST ARITHMETIC PROOF OF JACOBI'S FOUR ... 439

- [10] C. G. J. Jacobi, *De compositione numerorum e quator quadratis*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 12 (1834), 167-172; *Werke*, Vol. VI, pp. 245-251.
- [11] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman and H. L. Montgomery, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [12] J. V. Uspensky and M. A. Heaslet, *Elementary Number Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1939.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Okanagan University College
Kelowna, BC V1V 1V7
Canada
e-mail: bkspearm@okuc02.okanagan.bc.ca

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Carleton University
Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6
Canada
e-mail: williams@math.carleton.ca