

The Intersection of Two Cyclotomic Extensions of a Quadratic Field

BLAIR K. SPEARMAN

*Department of Mathematics, Okanagan University College, Kelowna, British Columbia
Canada V1V 1V7*

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario,
Canada K1S 5B6*

(1991 AMS Classification number : 11R11, 11R18)

Let m and n be positive integers and let (m, n) denote their greatest common divisor. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for the equality

$$K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) = K(e^{2\pi i/(m,n)})$$

to hold in the case of a quadratic field K .

Let K be an algebraic number field of finite degree over the rational field Q . Let m and n be positive integers. We write (m, n) for $GCD(m, n)$, and $[m, n]$ for $LCM(m, n)$, so that $(m, n)[m, n] = mn$. We are interested in knowing for which fields K the equality

$$(1) \quad K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) = K(e^{2\pi i/(m,n)})$$

holds. If $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, say $m = 2\ell$ (ℓ odd), then, as

$$e^{2\pi i/\ell} = (e^{2\pi i/m})^2, \quad e^{2\pi i/m} = -(e^{2\pi i/\ell})^{(\ell+1)/2}$$

we see that $K(e^{2\pi i/m}) = K(e^{2\pi i/\ell})$. Thus we can suppose throughout that $m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. If $K = Q$ it is known that (1) holds, see for example [2, Theorem 4.10 (v)] or [4].

Recalling that

$$(2) \quad Q(e^{2\pi i/m}) \subseteq Q(e^{2\pi i/n}) \Leftrightarrow m|n$$

and

$$(3) \quad Q(e^{2\pi i/m}, e^{2\pi i/n}) = Q(e^{2\pi i/[m,n]}),$$

(Received : 11 November 1994)

Research supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant A-7233.

it is easy to give other examples of fields K for which (1) holds. For example if $e^{2\pi i/m} \in K$ then $e^{2\pi i/(m,n)} \in K$ and so

$$K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) = K \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) = K = K(e^{2\pi i/(m,n)}),$$

showing that (1) holds in this case. As a second example, we take $K = Q(e^{2\pi i/r})$, where r is a positive integer $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \\ &= Q(e^{2\pi i/r}, e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap Q(e^{2\pi i/r}, e^{2\pi i/n}) \\ &= Q(e^{2\pi i/[r,m]}) \cap Q(e^{2\pi i/[r,n]}), && \text{(by (3))} \\ &= Q(e^{2\pi i/([r,m],[r,n])}) && \text{(by (1) for } K = Q) \\ &= Q(e^{2\pi i/[r,(m,n)]}) \\ &= Q(e^{2\pi i/r}, e^{2\pi i/(m,n)}) && \text{(by (3))} \\ &= K(e^{2\pi i/(m,n)}), \end{aligned}$$

proving (1) in this case too.

However (1) does not hold for every algebraic number field K . To see this take $K = Q(\sqrt[3]{3})$, $m = 3$, $n = 4$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{3} &= (\sqrt[3]{3})^3 \in K \subseteq K(e^{2\pi i/3}), \\ \sqrt{-3} &\in Q(e^{2\pi i/3}) \subseteq K(e^{2\pi i/3}), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sqrt{-1} = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}\sqrt{-3} \in K(e^{2\pi i/3}),$$

and

$$\sqrt{-1} \in Q(e^{2\pi i/4}) \subseteq K(e^{2\pi i/4}),$$

showing that $K(e^{2\pi i/3}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/4})$ is a nonreal field, however $K(e^{2\pi i/(3,4)}) = K = Q(\sqrt[3]{3})$ is a real field.

In this note we determine a necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to hold in the case of a quadratic field K . From this point on we take K to be a quadratic field. We denote the discriminant of K by D so that $K = Q(\sqrt{D})$. An integer which is the discriminant of a quadratic field is called a fundamental discriminant. It is known [3, Proposition 9, p.59] that a fundamental discriminant is the product of prime fundamental discriminants. This representation is unique apart from the order of the prime discriminants in the product.

Theorem. *Let m and n be positive integers. Set $d = (m, n)$, $\ell = [m, n]$. Let K be a field of degree 2 over Q . Let D denote the discriminant of K . Then*

$$K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) = K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \Leftrightarrow D \not\parallel \ell \text{ or } D|m \text{ or } D|n.$$

In the case $D|l, D \nmid m, D \nmid n$, let $D = d_1 \cdots d_k$ be the unique decomposition of the fundamental discriminant D as a product of prime discriminants, and set

$$D_3 = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i|d}}^k d_i.$$

Then there exist unique fundamental discriminants D_1 and D_2 such that

$$D = D_1 D_2 D_3, \quad D_1|m, D_2|n, D_1 \neq 1 \text{ or } D, D_2 \neq 1 \text{ or } D,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) &= K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) \neq K(e^{2\pi i/d}), \\ [K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d})] &= 2, \\ K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) &= K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Before proving this theorem we need some preliminary results. We set

$$(4) \quad H = K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}),$$

and note that

$$(5) \quad H \subseteq K(e^{2\pi i/m}), \quad H \subseteq K(e^{2\pi i/n}), \quad H \supseteq K(e^{2\pi i/d}).$$

Lemma 1. $K \subseteq Q(e^{2\pi i/m}) \Leftrightarrow D|m$.

Proof. The conductor of K is $|D|$ (see for example [1, p.98]) so the smallest cyclotomic field containing K is $Q(e^{2\pi i/|D|})$. The result now follows from (2).

Lemma 2. Set $q(D, r) = [K(e^{2\pi i/r}) : K]$. Then

$$q(D, r) = \begin{cases} \phi(r)/2, & \text{if } D|r, \\ \phi(r), & \text{if } D \nmid r, \end{cases}$$

where ϕ denotes Euler's phi function.

Proof. By Lemma 1 we have

$$[K(e^{2\pi i/r}) : Q(e^{2\pi i/r})] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } D|r, \\ 2, & \text{if } D \nmid r. \end{cases}$$

The asserted result now follows as

$$\begin{aligned} q(D, r) &= [K(e^{2\pi i/r}) : K] = \frac{[K(e^{2\pi i/r}) : Q]}{[K : Q]} \\ &= \frac{[K(e^{2\pi i/r}) : Q(e^{2\pi i/r})][Q(e^{2\pi i/r}) : Q]}{[K : Q]} \\ &= \frac{\phi(r)}{2} [K(e^{2\pi i/r}) : Q(e^{2\pi i/r})]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. $K(e^{2\pi i/m}) = H(e^{2\pi i/m})$.

Proof. From (5) we have

$$H(e^{2\pi i/m}) \supseteq K(e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}) = K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \supseteq H(e^{2\pi i/m}).$$

Lemma 4. If D and D_1 are fundamental discriminants and d is a positive integer such that

$$(6) \quad D_1 | D, D_1 \nmid d, D/D_1 \nmid d,$$

then

$$\left[K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] = 2.$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\left[K(2\pi i/d, \sqrt{D_1}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] \neq 2$. Then $\left[K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] = 1$ and $\sqrt{D_1} \in K(e^{2\pi i/d})$. Thus there are elements α and β of $Q(e^{2\pi i/d})$ such that

$$\sqrt{D_1} = \alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha &= \operatorname{tr}_{K(e^{2\pi i/d})/Q(e^{2\pi i/d})}(\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}_{K(e^{2\pi i/d})/Q(e^{2\pi i/d})}(\sqrt{D_1}) \\ &= 0 \text{ or } 2\sqrt{D_1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\alpha = 0$ or $\sqrt{D_1}$. If $\alpha = 0$ then $\sqrt{D_1} = \beta\sqrt{D}$ so $\sqrt{\frac{D_1}{D}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \in Q(e^{2\pi i/d})$, and thus $\frac{D_1}{D} | d$, contradicting $D/D_1 \nmid d$. If $\alpha = \sqrt{D_1}$ then $\sqrt{D_1} \in Q(e^{2\pi i/d})$ and thus $D_1 | d$, contradicting $D_1 \nmid d$.

We are now ready to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem. First we show that

$$(7) \quad D \nmid \ell \text{ or } D|m \text{ or } D|n \Rightarrow K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) = K(e^{2\pi i/d}).$$

By (5) we have

$$K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \supseteq H \supseteq K(e^{2\pi i/d}),$$

and thus

$$(8) \quad \left[K(e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] \geq \left[H(e^{2\pi i/m}) : H \right] \\ \geq \left[K(e^{2\pi i/n}, e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \right].$$

First we determine the quantity on the left hand side of (8). We have

$$\left[K(e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] = \left[K(e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] = \frac{q(D, m)}{q(D, d)}.$$

Next we determine the quantity on the right hand side of (8). We have

$$\left[K(e^{2\pi i/n}, e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \right] = \left[K(e^{2\pi i/\ell}) : K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \right] = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}.$$

The next step is to show that $\frac{q(D, m)}{q(D, d)} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}$. We treat four cases. If $D \nmid \ell$ (so that $D \nmid m, D \nmid n, D \nmid d$) we have

$$\frac{q(D, m)}{q(D, d)} = \frac{\phi(m)}{\phi(d)} = \frac{\phi(\ell)}{\phi(n)} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}.$$

If $D|\ell, D|m, D|n$ (so that $D|d$)

$$\frac{q(D, m)}{q(D, d)} = \frac{\phi(m)/2}{\phi(d)/2} = \frac{\phi(\ell)/2}{\phi(n)/2} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}.$$

If $D|\ell, D|m, D \nmid n$ (so that $D \nmid d$)

$$\frac{q(D, m)}{q(D, d)} = \frac{\phi(m)/2}{\phi(d)} = \frac{\phi(\ell)/2}{\phi(n)} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}.$$

If $D|\ell, D \nmid m, D|n$ (so that $D \nmid d$)

$$\frac{q(D, m)}{q(D, d)} = \frac{\phi(m)}{\phi(d)} = \frac{\phi(\ell)/2}{\phi(n)/2} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}.$$

Hence in all four cases we have $\frac{q(D, m)}{q(D, d)} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}$. This shows that equality holds throughout (8), and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad \left[K(e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] &= \left[H(e^{2\pi i/m}) : H \right] \\ &= \left[K(e^{2\pi i/n}, e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 3 we have $K(e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}) = K(e^{2\pi i/m}) = H(e^{2\pi i/m})$, and the first equality in (9) gives $\left[H(e^{2\pi i/m}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] = \left[H(e^{2\pi i/m}) : H \right]$. But by (5) we have $H \supseteq K(e^{2\pi i/d})$, so we must have $H = K(e^{2\pi i/d})$ as claimed. This completes the proof of (7).

Now we show that

$$(10) \quad D|\ell, D \nmid m, D \nmid n \Rightarrow K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \neq K(e^{2\pi i/d})$$

and at the same time determine exactly what $K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \cap K(e^{2\pi i/n})$ is. Each d_i in the product

$$\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i \nmid d}}^k d_i = D/D_3$$

divides D and so divides ℓ and thus mn . Clearly such d_i do not divide both m and n as $d_i \nmid d$. Set

$$D_1 = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i | m}}^k d_i, \quad D_2 = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ d_i | n}}^k d_i.$$

Then $D = D_1 D_2 D_3$, $D_1 | m$, $D_2 | n$. Clearly D_1 and D_2 are uniquely defined. Suppose $D_1 = 1$. Since $D_2 | n/d$ we have $D_2 D_3 \left| \frac{n}{d} \cdot d = n$, that is $D | n$, contradicting $D \nmid n$. Hence $D_1 \neq 1$. Similarly $D_2 \neq 1$, and thus $D_1 \neq D$, $D_2 \neq D$.

As $D_1 | m$, by Lemma 1, we have $\sqrt{D_1} \in Q(e^{2\pi i/m})$ so that

$$\sqrt{D_1} \in K(e^{2\pi i/m}).$$

Similarly

$$\sqrt{D_2} \in K(e^{2\pi i/n}).$$

Also $D_3 | d$ so

$$\sqrt{D_3} \in Q(e^{2\pi i/d}) \subseteq H.$$

Hence, as $\sqrt{D} \in K \subseteq K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \subseteq H$, we see that

$$\pm \sqrt{D_1} = \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\sqrt{D_2} \sqrt{D_3}} \in K(e^{2\pi i/n}),$$

and

$$\pm \sqrt{D_2} = \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\sqrt{D_1} \sqrt{D_3}} \in K(e^{2\pi i/m}),$$

and thus

$$\sqrt{D_1} \in H, \quad \sqrt{D_2} \in H.$$

It follows that

$$K(e^{2\pi i/n}) \supseteq H \supseteq K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d}),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} [K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}): K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d})] &\geq [H(e^{2\pi i/m}): H] \\ (11) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\geq [K(e^{2\pi i/n}, e^{2\pi i/m}): K(e^{2\pi i/n})]. \end{aligned}$$

First we determine the left hand term in (11). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &[K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}): K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d})] \\ &= [K(e^{2\pi i/m}): K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{[K(e^{2\pi i/m}):K]}{[K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d}):K(e^{2\pi i/d})][K(e^{2\pi i/d}):K]} \\ &= \frac{q(D, m)}{2q(D, d)}, \text{ by Lemma 4.} \end{aligned}$$

Next we determine the right hand term in (11). We have

$$\begin{aligned} [K(e^{2\pi i/n}, e^{2\pi i/m}):K(e^{2\pi i/n})] &= [K(e^{2\pi i/\ell}):K(e^{2\pi i/n})] \\ &= \frac{[K(e^{2\pi i/\ell}):K]}{[K(e^{2\pi i/n}):K]} \\ &= \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that

$$\frac{q(D, m)}{2q(D, d)} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}.$$

As $D \nmid \ell, D \nmid m, D \nmid n$, we have $D \nmid d$ and

$$\frac{q(D, m)}{2q(D, d)} = \frac{\phi(m)}{2\phi(d)} = \frac{\phi(\ell)/2}{\phi(n)} = \frac{q(D, \ell)}{q(D, n)}.$$

Hence equality holds throughout (11), that is

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad & [K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}):K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d})] \\ &= [H(e^{2\pi i/m}):H] \\ &= [K(e^{2\pi i/n}, e^{2\pi i/m}):K(e^{2\pi i/n})]. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}) &= K(e^{2\pi i/d}, e^{2\pi i/m}) \\ &= K(e^{2\pi i/m}) \\ &= H(e^{2\pi i/m}), \text{ by Lemma 3,} \end{aligned}$$

so (12) gives

$$[H(e^{2\pi i/m}):K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d})] = [H(e^{2\pi i/m}):H].$$

But $H \supseteq K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d})$ so we must have

$$H = K(\sqrt{D_1}, e^{2\pi i/d}).$$

Note that $D_1|D$, $D_1 \nmid d$, $D_2D_3 \nmid d$, so by Lemma 4 we have

$$\left[K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) : K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \right] = 2,$$

so that

$$H = K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) \neq K(e^{2\pi i/d}).$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_1}) &= K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D}\sqrt{D_2}\sqrt{D_3}), && \text{as } D = D_1D_2D_3, \\ &= K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_2}\sqrt{D_3}) && \text{as } \sqrt{D} \in K, \\ &= K(e^{2\pi i/d}, \sqrt{D_2}) && \text{as } \sqrt{D_3} \in K(e^{2\pi i/d}) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (10), and thus of the theorem.

References

- [1] R. L. Long, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1977.
- [2] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers (Second Edition)*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin) and Polish Scientific Publishers (Warsaw), 1990.
- [3] C. L. Siegel, *Advanced Analytic Number Theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1980.
- [4] B. K. Spearman and K.S. Williams, *Two short papers in Number Theory*, Centre for Research in Algebra and Number Theory, Carleton University-University of Ottawa, Mathematical Research Series No. 7, October 1991.