AN APPLICATION OF THE OSCILLATION OF A FUNCTION AT A POINT

TOM C. VENABLE, JR., Indiana State University

The purpose of this note is to demonstrate an application of the oscillation of a function f at a point z_0 .

DEFINITION. $w(f, z_0)$ will denote the oscillation of f at the point z_0 , i.e., $w(f, z_0) = \inf \sup |f(x) - f(y)|$ where the sup is taken over all points x and y in an open neighborhood containing z_0 and the inf is taken over all such open neighborhoods.

It is well known that f is continuous at z_0 if and only if $w(f, z_0) = 0$. This concept will be used to obtain information about the set of continuous functions on an interval [a, b]; this set will be denoted C[a, b]. Let B[a, b] be the set of all bounded functions over [a, b]. Using the oscillation concept, it will be shown that C[a, b] is a closed subset of B[a, b] in the uniform topology. A more elementary proof uses the triangle inequality and the fact that a function which is continuous on [a, b] is uniformly continuous on [a, b]. [1].

We will show that B[a, b] - C[a, b] is open. Note that this proof uses the direct definition of an open set in a metric space, i.e., a set is open if and only if it is a neighborhood of each of its points. Let $f \in B[a, b] - C[a, b]$. One must show that there exists some sphere about f completely contained in B[a, b] - C[a, b]. Since f is not continuous over [a, b], there exists some point $z_0 \in [a, b]$ such that $w(f, z_0) = \delta > 0$. Consider the open sphere about f of radius $\delta/4$, i.e., $\{g|\sup|f(x)-g(x)|<\delta/4\}$ where x ranges over [a, b]. Then $w(g, z_0) \ge \delta/2$ and g fails to be continuous at z_0 so g is in B[a, b] - C[a, b]. Hence, B[a, b] - C[a, b] is open and C[a, b] is closed in B[a, b].

Reference

1. A. E. Taylor, Advanced Calculus, Blaisdell, Waltham, 1955.

NOTE ON $\int_0^\infty (\sin x/x) dx$

KENNETH S. WILLIAMS, Carleton University, Ottawa

In this note we give a simple proof of the well known result $\int_0^{+\infty} (\sin x/x) dx = \pi/2$. (All integrals used are either proper or improper Riemann integrals.) We do this by showing that it is a limiting form of a special case of the following result:

THEOREM 1. If f(x) is a real-valued function which is continuous on [a, b], where $0 \le a < b$, then

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_a^b e^{-xy} x f(x) dx \right\} dy (exists) = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Proof. Since f(x) is continuous on [a, b], f(x) is bounded on [a, b], say $|f(x)| \le M$, for $x \in [a, b]$. Then for y > 0 we have

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} (1 - e^{-xy}) f(x) dx - \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} e^{-xy} \left| f(x) \right| dx$$

$$\leq M \frac{(e^{-ay} - e^{-by})}{y}$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{y},$$

so that

$$\lim_{y\to+\infty}\int_a^b(1-e^{-xy})f(x)dx=\int_a^bf(x)dx.$$

Now

$$1 - e^{-xy} = \int_0^y xe^{-ux}du,$$

for all x and y so that

$$\int_{a}^{b} (1 - e^{-xy})f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{b} \left\{ \int_{0}^{y} xe^{-ux}du \right\} f(x)dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{u} \left\{ \int_{a}^{b} e^{-ux}xf(x)dx \right\} du,$$

since $e^{-ux}xf(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times [0, y]$. Hence

$$\lim_{y \to +\infty} \int_0^y \left\{ \int_a^b e^{-ux} x f(x) dx \right\} du = \int_a^b f(x) dx,$$

which proves the result.

THEOREM 2. $\int_0^{+\infty} (\sin x/x) dx = \pi/2$.

Proof. In Theorem 1 we choose a = 0,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin x}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 1, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

so that f(x) is continuous on [0, b], for any b > 0. We obtain

$$\int_0^b \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^b e^{-ux} \sin x dx \right\} du$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - e^{-bu}(u\sin b + \cos b)}{1 + u^2} du$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} - \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bu}(u\sin b + \cos b)}{1 + u^2} du.$$

Now

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-bu}(u \sin b + \cos b)}{1 + u^2} du \right| \le \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-bu}}{\sqrt{1 + u^2}} du \le \int_0^{\infty} e^{-bu} du = \frac{1}{b},$$

so that letting $b \rightarrow + \infty$ we obtain the result.

A DEMOCRATIC PROOF OF A COMBINATORIAL IDENTITY

HARLEY FLANDERS, Tel Aviv University

In a note titled A new proof of a combinatorial identity, David C. Shipman gives a matrix theory proof of

$$\sum_{k=i}^{i} {k \choose j} {i \choose k} (-1)^{j+k} = 0 \quad \text{for } i > j.$$

See this MAGAZINE, 43 (1970) 162-163.

Suppose from an assembly of i individuals one appoints a committee of k and a subcommittee of j. This can be done in

$$\binom{i}{k}\binom{k}{j}$$

ways obviously. However, one could slyly appoint the subcommittee first and then select the other k-j committeemen from the remaining i-j assemblymen. Then the count is

$$\binom{i}{j}\binom{i-j}{k-j}$$
.

Thus

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=j}^{i} \binom{k}{j} \binom{i}{k} x^k &= \binom{i}{j} \sum_{k=j}^{i} \binom{i-j}{k-j} x^k \\ &= \binom{i}{j} x^j \sum_{r=0}^{i-j} \binom{i-j}{r} x^r = \binom{i}{j} x^j (1+x)^{i-j}. \end{split}$$

Set x = -1.