

SMALL SOLUTIONS OF THE CONGRUENCE  $ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k}$

Kenneth S. Williams\*

(received February 22, 1969)

1. Introduction. In 1957, Mordell [3] proved

THEOREM. If  $p$  is an odd prime there exist non-negative integers  $x, y \leq Ap^{3/4} \log p$ , where  $A$  is a positive absolute constant, such that

$$(1.1) \quad ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{p},$$

provided  $(abc, p) = 1$ .

Recently Smith [5] has obtained a sharp asymptotic formula for the sum  $\Sigma\{r(n) : n \leq X, n \equiv c \pmod{k}\}$  where  $r(n)$  denotes the number of representations of  $n$  as the sum of two squares. As an application of the asymptotic formula for this sum, he deduced

THEOREM. If  $k$  is an odd integer, containing only a bounded number of factors, there exist non-negative integers  $x, y \leq Bk^{3/4}$ , where  $B$  is a positive absolute constant, such that

$$(1.2) \quad x^2 + y^2 \equiv c \pmod{k},$$

provided  $(c, k) = 1$ .

This sharpens Mordell's result when  $a = b = 1$  and  $k = p$ . It is the purpose of this paper to generalize Smith's result to the case of the congruence  $ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k}$ . We use an entirely different method from that of Smith. We apply an idea due to Tietäväinen [7]. We prove

THEOREM. If  $k$  is an odd integer there exist non-negative integers  $x, y \leq Ck^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}$ , where  $C$  is a positive absolute constant and  $d(k)$  denotes the number of divisors of  $k$ , such that

---

\*This research was supported by NRC Grant A-7233.

$$(1.3) \quad ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k},$$

provided  $(abc, k) = 1$ .

Smith's result is the special case  $a = b = 1$ ,  $d(k)$  bounded.

2. Notation. We let

$$(2.1) \quad h = [D k^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}] + 1,$$

where  $D > 0$  is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad D^2 = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^{3/2}}.$$

Clearly  $h \geq 1$  and  $k$  is supposed to be large enough so that  $h \leq \frac{1}{2}(k-1)$ . For any integer  $x$  we let  $N(k, x)$  denote the number of solutions  $(u, v)$  of

$$(2.3) \quad u + v \equiv x \pmod{k},$$

with

$$(2.4) \quad 1 \leq u \leq h, \quad 1 \leq v \leq h.$$

Clearly

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} N(k, x) = h^2.$$

For any real number  $u$  we write

$$(2.6) \quad e(u) \equiv \exp(2\pi i u)$$

and it is well known that for any integer  $r$  we have

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{rt}{k}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}. \end{cases}$$

We also define for arbitrary integers  $r$  and  $s$  :

$$(2.8) \quad M(k, r) = \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} N(k, x) e\left(\frac{rx^2}{k}\right),$$

$$(2.9) \quad A(k, r) = \sum_{x=1}^h e\left(\frac{rx}{k}\right),$$

$$(2.10) \quad T(k, r, s) = \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{rx^2 + sx}{k}\right),$$

$$(2.11) \quad S(k, r) = T(k, r, 0),$$

$$(2.12) \quad K(k, r, s) = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (x, k)=1}}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{rx + s[x, k]}{k}\right),$$

where  $[x, k]$  denotes the unique integer  $m$  satisfying

$$(2.13) \quad xm \equiv 1 \pmod{k}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq k-1, \quad \text{for } (x, k) = 1.$$

The sum  $A(k, r)$  (considered by Tietäväinen [6] when  $k$  is prime) satisfies

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} |A(k, r)|^2 = kh.$$

The sums  $T(k, r, s)$  and  $S(k, r)$  are Gaussian sums and it is well known that (see for example [2])

$$(2.15) \quad T(k, r, s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}, \\ d T\left(\frac{k}{d}, \frac{r}{d}, \frac{s}{d}\right), & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{d}, \end{cases}$$

where  $d = (k, r)$ . Also if  $(k, r) = 1$ , with  $k$  odd, we have

$$(2.16) \quad T(k, r, s) = e\left(\frac{-[4, k] s^2 [r, k]}{k}\right) S(k, r)$$

and (see for example [4])

$$(2.17) \quad S(k, r) = \left(\frac{r}{k}\right)_J i^{\frac{1}{4}(k-1)^2} k^{1/2}$$

where  $\left(\frac{r}{k}\right)_J$  is the Jacobi symbol. Finally  $K(k, r, s)$  is the Kloosterman sum, which Estermann [1] has shown satisfies

$$(2.18) \quad |K(k, r, s)| \leq d(k) k^{1/2} (r, s, k)^{1/2}.$$

This estimate is a consequence of the work of Weil [8].

3. Idea of proof. The idea of the proof is to show that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{x, y=0 \\ ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k}}}^{k-1} N(k, x) N(k, y) > 0.$$

This result implies that there exist integers  $x$  and  $y$  ( $0 \leq x, y \leq k-1$ ) such that

$$(3.2) \quad ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad N(k, x) > 0, \quad N(k, y) > 0.$$

The conditions (3.3) imply the existence of integers  $u, v, u', v'$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad 1 \leq u, v, u', v' \leq h \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad u + v \equiv x, u' + v' \equiv y \pmod{k}.$$

Hence

$$|x - (u + v)| \leq k - 1, \quad |y - (u' + v')| \leq k - 1$$

and so

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} 0 < x = u + v \leq 2h = 2[Dk^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}] + 2 \leq Ck^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}, \\ 0 < y = u' + v' \leq 2h = 2[Dk^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}] + 2 \leq Ck^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}, \end{cases}$$

for a suitable positive absolute constant  $C \leq 2\sqrt{3} + 2$ . This is the required result.

4. Proof of theorem. From (2.7) we have

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} e\left\{\frac{(ax^2 + by^2 - c)t}{k}\right\} = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & k \sum_{\substack{x, y=0 \\ ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k}}}^{k-1} N(k, x) N(k, y) \\ &= \sum_{x, y=0}^{k-1} N(k, x) N(k, y) \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} e\left\{\frac{(ax^2 + by^2 - c)t}{k}\right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} N(k, x) \right\}^2 + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{-ct}{k}\right) M(k, at) M(k, bt), \end{aligned}$$

on picking out the term with  $t = 0$ . Thus from (2.5) we have

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \sum_{x,y=0}^{k-1} N(k, x) N(k, y) - h^4 \\ ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k} \end{array} \right| = \left| \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{-ct}{k}\right) M(k, at) M(k, bt) \right|$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M(k, at) &= \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} N(k, x) e\left(\frac{atx^2}{k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x=0}^{k-1} \sum_{u,v=1}^h \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{r(u+v-x) + atx^2}{k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \{A(k, r)\}^2 T(k, at, -r), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{-ct}{k}\right) M(k, at) M(k, bt) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{\substack{d|k \\ (t, k)=d}} \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \sum_{r, s=0}^{k-1} A(k, r)^2 \{A(k, s)\}^2 T(k, at, -r) T(k, bt, -s) e\left(\frac{-ct}{k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{\substack{d|k \\ d|r, d|s}} \sum_{r, s=0}^{k-1} \{A(k, r)\}^2 \{A(k, s)\}^2 \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{-ct}{k}\right) T(k, at, -r) T(k, bt, -s), \end{aligned}$$

as  $T(k, at, -r) T(k, bt, -s)$  is zero (see (2.15)) unless  $-r \equiv 0 \pmod{(k, at)}$  and  $-s \equiv 0 \pmod{(k, bt)}$ , that is, unless  $d|r$  and  $d|s$ , since  $(ab, k) = 1$ . In this case

$$T(k, at, -r) = d T\left(\frac{k}{d}, \frac{at}{d}, \frac{-r}{d}\right)$$

and

$$T(k, bt, -s) = d T\left(\frac{k}{d}, \frac{bt}{d}, \frac{-s}{d}\right)$$

so that the sum becomes

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{d|k} d^2 \sum_{\substack{r, s=0 \\ d|r, d|s}}^{k-1} \{A(k, r)\}^2 \{A(k, s)\}^2 \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ (t, k)=d}}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{-ct}{k}\right) T\left(\frac{k}{d}, \frac{at}{d}, \frac{-r}{d}\right) T\left(\frac{k}{d}, \frac{bt}{d}, \frac{-s}{d}\right).$$

We next change the summation over  $t$  in (4.2) into summation over  $u$ , where  $u = t/d$ , which gives:

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{d|k} d^2 \sum_{\substack{r, s=0 \\ d|r, d|s}}^{k-1} \{A(k, r)\}^2 \{A(k, s)\}^2 \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u, \frac{k}{d})=1}}^{\frac{k}{d}-1} e\left(\frac{-cu}{k/d}\right) T\left(\frac{k}{d}, au, \frac{-r}{d}\right) T\left(\frac{k}{d}, bu, \frac{-s}{d}\right).$$

From (2.16) and (2.17) the sum over  $u$  in (4.3) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u, \frac{k}{d})=1}}^{\frac{k}{d}-1} e\left(\frac{-cu}{k/d}\right) e\left(\frac{-[4, k/d] (-r/d)^2 [au, k/d]}{k/d}\right) \left(\frac{au}{k/d}\right)_J i^{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{k}{d}-1\right)^2} \left(\frac{k}{d}\right)^{1/2} \\ & \cdot e\left(\frac{-[4, k/d] (-s/d)^2 [bu, k/d]}{k/d}\right) \left(\frac{bu}{k/d}\right)_J i^{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{k}{d}-1\right)^2} \left(\frac{k}{d}\right)^{1/2} \\ & = \frac{k}{d} \left(\frac{-ab}{k/d}\right)_J K\left(\frac{k}{d}, -c, -e\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e = [4a, k/d] \left(\frac{r}{d}\right)^2 + [4b, k/d] \left(\frac{s}{d}\right)^2.$$

From (2.18)

$$|K(k/d, -c, -e)| \leq d(k/d) \left(\frac{k}{d}\right)^{1/2} (-c, -e, k/d)^{1/2} = d(k/d) \frac{k}{d}^{1/2},$$

as  $(c, k) = 1$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} e\left(\frac{-ct}{k}\right) M(k, at) M(k, bt) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{d|k} d^2 \sum_{\substack{r, s=0 \\ d|r, d|s}}^{k-1} |A(k, r)|^2 |A(k, s)|^2 \cdot \frac{k}{d} \cdot d(k/d) \frac{k}{d}^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{d(k)}{k^{1/2}} \sum_{d|k} d^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ d|r}}^{k-1} |A(k, r)|^2 \right\}^2 \\ & = \frac{d(k)}{k^{1/2}} \sum_{d|k} d^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k}{d}-1} |A(k/d, t)|^2 \right\}^2 \\ & = \frac{d(k)}{k^{1/2}} \sum_{d|k} d^{1/2} \left( \frac{k}{d} \cdot h \right)^2 \\ & = d(k) k^{3/2} h^2 \sum_{d|k} \frac{1}{d^{3/2}} \\ & < d(k) k^{3/2} h^2 D^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (4.1)



$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ x, y=0}}^k N(k, x) N(k, y) > h^2 (h^2 - d(k)k^{3/2} D^2) > 0 ,$$

$$ax^2 + by^2 \equiv c \pmod{k}$$

as  $h = [D k^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}] + 1 > D k^{3/4} d(k)^{1/2}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. Conclusion. As remarked by Smith [5] it would be of great interest to know if the exponent  $3/4$  of the theorem can be lowered. It would also be of interest to know if the method of this paper could be adapted to give a corresponding result for the congruence

$$(5.1) \quad ax^\ell + by^m \equiv c \pmod{k} ,$$

where  $\ell \geq 2$ ,  $m \geq 3$  and  $(abc, k) = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Estermann, On Kloosterman's Sum. *Mathematika* 8 (1961) 83-86.
2. T. Estermann, A new application of the Hardy-Littlewood-Kloostermann Method. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 12 (1962) 425-444.
3. L. J. Mordell, On the number of solutions in incomplete residue sets of quadratic congruences. *Archiv der Math.* 8 (1957) 153-157.
4. H. Rademacher, *Lectures on Elementary Number Theory.* (Blaisdell, 1964) 93.
5. R. A. Smith, The circle problem in an arithmetic progression. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 11 (1968) 175-184.
6. A. Tietäväinen, On the trace of a polynomial over a finite field. *Ann. Univ. Turku., Ser. A1*, 87 (1966) 3-7.

7. A. Tietäväinen, On non-residues of a polynomial. Ann. Univ. Turku., Ser. A1, 94 (1966) 3-6.
8. A. Weil, On some exponential sums. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.) 34 (1948) 204-207.

Carleton University