NOTE ON PAIRS OF CONSECUTIVE RESIDUES OF POLYNOMIALS

Kenneth S. Williams

(received August 4, 1967)

1. Introduction. Let f(x) be a polynomial of degree $d \ge 3$ with integral coefficients, say,

(1)
$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + ... + a_d x^d$$
.

In a previous paper [6] I deduced, from a deep result of Lang and Weil [2], that there is a constant $k_1(d)$, depending only on d, such that for all primes $p \ge k_1(d)$, $p \nmid a_d$, f(x) has a pair of consecutive residues (mod p), that is, there exists an integer $r(0 \le r \le p-1)$ with the property that

(2)
$$f(x) \equiv r, f(y) \equiv r+1 \pmod{p}$$

are simultaneously soluble. It was further proved that for almost all polynomials of degree d, the least such r (say e) satisfies

(3)
$$e \le k_2(d)p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log p \qquad (p \ge k_1(d))$$

for some constant $k_2(d)$ depending only on d. I conjectured that, in fact, (3) holds for all such polynomials. K. McCann and I have proved this when d = 3 (see [3]) and when d = 4 (see [4]). It is the purpose of this note to prove the conjecture in the stronger form:

THEOREM. There is a constant $k_3(d)$, depending only on d, such that for all primes $p \ge k_4(d)$,

(4)
$$e \le k_3(d)p^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 11, no. 1, 1968

To prove this theorem, we use a recent deep result of Bombieri and Davenport [1] and a method of Tietavainen [5].

2. Proof of theorem. Let h be an integer such that $1 \le h \le \frac{1}{2}(p+1)$, so that $0 \le h-1 \le \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$. Set $H = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, h-1\}$ and write $H_r(r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-1)$ for the number of solutions of

(5)
$$x + y \equiv r \pmod{p} \qquad x \in H, y \in H$$

so that

(6)
$$pH_{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{array}{ccc} p-1 & h-1 & h-1 \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & \Sigma & \mathbf{r} \\ t=0 & \mathbf{x}=0 & \mathbf{y}=0 \end{array} e\{t(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{r})\}$$

where $e(u) = \exp(2\pi i u/p)$. Now let N_r (r = 0, 1, 2, ..., p-1) denote the number of solutions of $f(x) \equiv r \pmod{p}$. Then

(7)
$$p \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} N_r N_{r+1} H_r = \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} S(t) \left\{ \sum_{x=0}^{h-1} e(tx) \right\}^2$$

where

(8)
$$S(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} N_r N_{r+1} e(-tr).$$

I proved in [6] that

$$S(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}, y=0}^{p-1} e(tf(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$f(y)-f(x)-1=0$$

and also that f(y) - f(x) - 1 is absolutely irreducible (mod p). Hence for $t \neq 0$, a result of Bombieri and Davenport [1] implies that

where $k_4(d)$ is a constant depending only on d. For t = 0

a result of Lang and Weil [2] gives

(10)
$$|S(0) - p| \le k_5(d)p^2$$
 $(p \ge k_4(d))$,

where $k_{5}(d)$ is a constant depending only on d. Thus

$$| p \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} N_r N_{r+1}^r H_r - h^2 S(0) |$$

$$= | \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} S(t) \{ \sum_{x=0}^{h-1} e(tx) \}^2 |$$

$$\leq \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} | S(t) | | \sum_{x=0}^{h-1} e(tx) |^2$$

$$\leq k_4(d) p^2 \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} | \sum_{x=0}^{h-1} e(tx) |^2 ,$$

by (9). In [5] it was noted that

$$p-1$$
 $h-1$
 $\Sigma \mid \Sigma$ $e(tx) \mid^2 = h(p-h)$
 $t=1$ $x=1$

so using (10) we have

Choose $h = [\{k_4(d)+k_5(d)\} p^{\frac{1}{2}}] + 1$ so that

$$\frac{p-1}{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} N_r N_{r+1} H_r} > 0$$

Hence there exists $r (0 \le r \le p-1)$ for which

$$N_r > 0$$
, $N_{r+1} > 0$. $H_r > 0$;

i.e., for which (r,r+1) is a pair of consecutive residues of f(x) and moreover

$$r = x+y$$

 $x \epsilon H, y \epsilon H$

so that

$$0 \le r \le 2(h-1) = 2[\{k_4(d)+k_5(d)\} p^2]$$

Hence

$$e \le k_3(d)p^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where

$$k_3(d) = 2\{k_4(d)+k_5(d)\}$$
.

which proves (4).

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Bombieri and H. Davenport, On two problems of Mordell, Amer. J. Math., 88 (1966), 61-70.
- 2. S. Lang and A. Weil, Number of points of varieties in finite fields, Amer. J. Math., 76 (1954). 819-827.

- 3. K. McCann and K.S. Williams, On the residues of a cubic polynomial (mod p), Canad. Math. Bull., 10 (1967), 29-38.
- 4. K. McCann and K.S. Williams, The distribution of the residues of a quartic polynomial, Glasgow Math. Journal 8 (1967), 67-88.
- 5. A. Tietäväinen, On non-residues of a polynomial, Ann. Univ. Turku., Ser. Al, 94 (1966), 3-6.
- 6. K.S. Williams, Pairs of consecutive residues of polynomials, Can. J. Math., 19 (1967), 655-666.

Carleton University