B. K. SPEARMAN, A. WATANABE, AND K. S. WILLIAMS
KODAI MATH. J.
29 (2006), 5–12

$PSL(2,5)$ SEXTIC FIELDS WITH A POWER BASIS

Blair K. Spearman, Aya Watanabe, and Kenneth S. Williams

Abstract

It is shown that there exist infinitely many $PSL(2,5)$ sextic fields with a power basis.

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree n. We denote the ring of integers of K by O_K. The field K is said to possess a power basis if there exists an element $\theta \in O_K$ such that $O_K = \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\theta + \cdots + \mathbf{Z}\theta^{n-1}$. A field having a power basis is called monogenic. Every quadratic field is monogenic. Dedekind [**5**] gave an example of a cubic field which is not monogenic. If K is a cyclic cubic field, Gras [**9**], [**10**] and Archinard [**3**] have given necessary and sufficient conditions for K to be monogenic. Dummit and Kisilevsky [**6**] have shown that there exist infinitely many cyclic cubic fields which are monogenic. The same has been shown for non-cyclic cubic fields, pure quartic fields, bicyclic quartic fields, dihedral quartic fields by Spearman and Williams [**17**], Funakura [**8**], Nakahara [**16**], Huard, Spearman and Williams [**13**] respectively. It is not known if there are infinitely many monogenic cyclic quartic fields. If K is a cyclic field of prime degree $p \geq 5$ then Gras [**11**] has proved that K is monogenic if and only if K is the maximal real subfield of a cyclotomic field. In particular there is only one monogenic cyclic quintic field. Lavallee, Spearman, Williams and Yang [**15**] have shown that there exist infinitely many dihedral quintic fields with a power basis.

In this paper we exhibit infinitely many monogenic $PSL(2,5)$ sextic fields.

Theorem. *There are infinitely many integers t such that the $PSL(2,5)$ sextic fields*

$$\mathbf{Q}(\theta), \quad \theta^6 - 4\theta^5 + 2\theta^4 - 3t\theta^3 + \theta^2 + 2\theta + 1 = 0,$$

are distinct and monogenic.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11R21.

Key words and phrases: $PSL(2,5)$ sextic field, power basis, monogenic.

The first and third authors were supported by research grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Received January 19, 2005; revised June 20, 2005.

2. A parametric family of $PSL(2,5)$ sextics

Let $b, c, d \in \mathbf{Q}$. The parametric family of sextics

$$f(x; b, c, d) = x^6 + 2cx^5 + Ax^4 + Bx^3 + Cx^2 + (3b + 2c + 6)x + (b + 1),$$

where

$$A = -bd + c^2 + 2c + 2,$$
$$B = b - 2bd + 2c^2 + 2c - 4d + 2,$$
$$C = 3b - bd + c^2 + 4c + 5,$$

was studied by Anai and Kondo [**2**]. It is known that the Galois group of f is usually $PSL(2,5) (\cong A_5)$ but not always. A good source of families of polynomials with given Galois group is [**14**]. For our purposes we set

$$F_t(x) = f(x; 0, -2, (3t + 6)/4), \quad t \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

We have

$$F_t(x) = x^6 - 4x^5 + 2x^4 - 3tx^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *$F_t(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ for all $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have

$$F_t(x) = (x + 2)(x^5 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2) \pmod 3, \tag{2.1}$$

where $x^5 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2$ is irreducible (mod 3). Thus if $F_t(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, it must have the factorization

$$F_t(x) = l(x)m(x),$$

where $l(x)$ is a monic linear polynomial and $m(x)$ is a monic irreducible quintic polynomial. Since $F_t(0) = 1$ we must have $l(x) = x \pm 1$. If $l(x) = x - 1$, then $0 = F_t(1) = 3 - 3t$, contradicting $t \neq 1$. If $l(x) = x + 1$ then $0 = F_t(-1) = 7 + 3t$, contradicting $t \in \mathbf{Z}$. This completes the proof of the irreducibility of $F_t(x)$. $\square$

We remark that $F_1(x) = x^6 - 4x^5 + 2x^4 - 3x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1$ is reducible as it is divisible by $x - 1$. Using MAPLE we find

LEMMA 2.2. *For $t \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\operatorname{disc}(F_t(x)) = (729t^3 + 522t^2 + 1788t + 2648)^2$.*

LEMMA 2.3. *If $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $\operatorname{Gal}(F_t(x)) \cong PSL(2,5)$.*

Proof. Let $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$. By Lemma 2.1 $F_t(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. From (2.1) we see that $\operatorname{Gal}(F_t(x))$ contains a 5-cycle so that 5 divides the order of $\operatorname{Gal}(F_t(x))$. The only possible Galois groups of an irreducible sextic polynomial $\in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ up to conjugation are the fifteen groups

$$C_6, \quad S_3, \quad D_6, \quad A_4, \quad C_3^2 \rtimes C_2 \cong C_3 \times D_3,$$
$$A_4 \times C_2, \quad S_4, \quad C_3^2 \rtimes C_2^2 \cong D_3 \times D_3, \quad C_3^2 \rtimes C_4, \quad S_4 \times C_2,$$
$$PSL(2,5) \cong A_5, \quad C_3^2 \rtimes D_4, \quad PGL(2,5) \cong S_5, \quad A_6, \quad S_6,$$

see [**4**, pp. 329–331], whose orders are respectively 6, 6, 12, 12, 18, 24, 24, 36, 36, 48, 60, 72, 120, 360, 720. The only groups having order divisible by 5 are

$$PSL(2,5), \quad PGL(2,5), \quad A_6, \quad S_6.$$

By Lemma 2.2 the discriminant of $F_t(x)$ is a perfect square so $\mathrm{Gal}(F_t(x)) \not\cong PGL(2,5)$, S_6. Hence $\mathrm{Gal}(F_t(x)) \cong PSL(2,5)$ or A_6.

Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3, ϕ_4, ϕ_5, ϕ_6 be the six complex roots of $F_t(x)$. Let $f_{15}(x)$ be the polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ of degree 15 whose roots are

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_1\phi_2+\phi_3\phi_4+\phi_5\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_2+\phi_3\phi_5+\phi_4\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_2+\phi_3\phi_6+\phi_4\phi_5, \\
\phi_1\phi_3+\phi_2\phi_4+\phi_5\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_3+\phi_2\phi_5+\phi_4\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_3+\phi_2\phi_6+\phi_4\phi_5, \\
\phi_1\phi_4+\phi_2\phi_3+\phi_5\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_4+\phi_2\phi_5+\phi_3\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_4+\phi_2\phi_6+\phi_3\phi_5, \\
\phi_1\phi_5+\phi_2\phi_3+\phi_4\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_5+\phi_2\phi_4+\phi_3\phi_6, & \phi_1\phi_5+\phi_2\phi_6+\phi_3\phi_4, \\
\phi_1\phi_6+\phi_2\phi_3+\phi_4\phi_5, & \phi_1\phi_6+\phi_2\phi_4+\phi_3\phi_5, & \phi_1\phi_6+\phi_2\phi_5+\phi_3\phi_4.
\end{array}$$

Using MAPLE we find

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{15}(x) = {} & x^{15} - 6x^{14} + (36t+6)x^{13} + (-27t^2 - 144t - 114)x^{12} \\
& + (540t^2 - 30t + 255)x^{11} + (-648t^3 - 864t^2 - 2976t + 612)x^{10} \\
& + (243t^4 + 3024t^3 + 513t^2 + 1626t + 5473)x^9 \\
& + (-4374t^4 + 1350t^3 - 25677t^2 + 10566t + 5726)x^8 \\
& + (2916t^5 - 486t^4 + 30456t^3 - 23544t^2 + 109836t - 9589)x^7 \\
& + (-729t^6 - 14013t^4 - 24894t^3 - 83466t^2 + 234012t - 115054)x^6 \\
& + (1944t^5 + 79056t^4 + 63558t^3 + 160884t^2 + 298728t - 443860)x^5 \\
& + (-69012t^5 - 57834t^4 - 183276t^3 + 624681t^2 + 98616t - 957110)x^4 \\
& + (19683t^6 + 17982t^5 + 83106t^4 - 660204t^3 + 162576t^2 \\
& \quad - 1250718t - 1735331)x^3 \\
& + (-26244t^5 + 470691t^4 + 210006t^3 - 177102t^2 - 3543564t - 3305952)x^2 \\
& + (-131220t^5 + 59454t^4 + 723006t^3 + 1004607t^2 - 2921238t - 4182059)x \\
& + (-52488t^5 - 156492t^4 + 16686t^3 + 469719t^2 - 285438t - 2317862).
\end{aligned}$$

As $PSL(2,5) \cong A_5$ and A_6 are non-solvable groups, $F_t(x)$ is a non-solvable, irreducible sextic, which has a square discriminant (by Lemma 2.2), and we can apply [**12**, Prop. 5, p. 717] to deduce that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_t(x)) \cong PSL(2,5) \quad \text{if } f_{15}(x) \text{ is reducible in } \mathbf{Z}[x]$$

and

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_t(x)) \cong A_6 \quad \text{if } f_{15}(x) \text{ is irreducible in } \mathbf{Z}[x].$$

It follows from [**2**] that $f_{15}(x)$ factors into a product of two polynomials in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, one of degree 5, and the other of degree 10. The two factors are

$$x^5 - 2x^4 + (12t-2)x^3 + (-9t^2+6t-72)x^2 + (-27t^2+12t-107)x + (-81t^2-282t-446)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x^{10} &- 4x^9 + 24tx^8 + (-18t^2-54t-50)x^7 + (207t^2-78t-26)x^6 \\ &+ (-216t^3-9t^2-582t+478)x^5 + (81t^4+378t^3-189t^2-1530t+993)x^4 \\ &+ (-243t^4+540t^3+189t^2+672t+1446)x^3 \\ &+ (-216t^3-2961t^2+5214t+4623)x^2 \\ &+ (1404t^3-5418t^2+2184t+8130)x + (648t^3-324t^2-2646t+5197). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathrm{Gal}(F_t(x)) \cong PSL(2,5)$ for $t(\neq 1)$ in $\mathbf{Z}$. □

3. Field discriminant calculations

Let $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let θ_t be a root of $F_t(x)$, so that $\theta_t \in \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4, \phi_5, \phi_6\}$. Set $K_t = \mathbf{Q}(\theta_t)$ so that, by Lemma 2.3, K_t is a sextic field with Galois group $PSL(2,5)$. Set $g(t) := 729t^3 + 522t^2 + 1788t + 2648$. In this section we determine the discriminant $d(K_t)$ of K_t when either $g(t)$ or $g(t)/8$ is squarefree and odd. The former possibility can only occur when $t \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ and the latter when $t \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. First we prove a general lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ be irreducible. Suppose that θ is a root of $f(x)$ and $K = \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. If p is a prime number such that $p \| a_0$ and $p | a_1$ then the ideal $\langle p \rangle$ ramifies in K.*

Proof. Suppose that $\langle p \rangle$ does not ramify in K. Then there exist distinct prime ideals $\wp_1, \ldots, \wp_r$ of O_K such that

$$\langle p \rangle = \wp_1 \cdots \wp_r.$$

As $p \| a_0$ we have $\langle a_0 \rangle = \wp_1 \cdots \wp_r \langle b \rangle$ for some $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $p \nmid b$. Thus $\wp_i \nmid \langle b \rangle$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Since $N(\theta) = \pm a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod p$ the ideal $\langle \theta \rangle$ must be divisible by at least one $\wp_i$, say $\wp$. As $\wp | a_1$ we have

$$a_0 = a_0 - f(\theta) = -a_1\theta - \cdots - a_{n-1}\theta^{n-1} - \theta^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\wp^2},$$

contradicting $p \| a_0$. Thus $\langle p \rangle$ ramifies in K. □

Returning to the sextic fields defined at the beginning of this section, we prove the following result.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that either $g(t)$ or $g(t)/8$ is squarefree and odd. Let p be an odd prime such that $p \mid g(t)$. Then $p \mid d(K_t)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\alpha_t = \theta_t^3 - 4\theta_t^2 + 5\theta_t - 3 \in O_{K_t}.$$

MAPLE shows that α_t is a root of

$$\begin{aligned} h_t(x) = x^6 &+ (6 - 9t)x^5 + (27t^2 - 63t - 26)x^4 \\ &+ (-27t^3 + 216t^2 - 60t - 240)x^3 \\ &+ (-243t^3 + 414t^2 + 192t - 416)x^2 \\ &- g(t)x - g(t). \end{aligned}$$

As $K_t = \mathbf{Q}(\theta_t)$ is a primitive sextic field, the degree of the minimal polynomial of α_t over $\mathbf{Q}$ is 6. Hence $h_t(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of α_t over $\mathbf{Q}$. Thus the conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied and so $\langle p \rangle$ ramifies in K_t, proving $p \mid d(K_t)$. □

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $g(t)/8$ is squarefree and odd. Then $2^6 \| d(K_t)$.*

Proof. Using MAPLE we show that none of the 32 elements of K_t given by

$$\frac{1}{2}(a_0 + a_1\theta_t + a_2\theta_t^2 + a_3\theta_t^3 + a_4\theta_t^4 + \theta_t^5), \quad a_i = 0, 1,$$

is an integer of K_t. We just provide the details in one typical case. We consider

$$\beta_t = \theta_t + \theta_t^3 + \theta_t^4 + \theta_t^5.$$

As $g(t)/8$ is squarefreee and odd, we have $t = 4k$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. The minimal polynomial of β_t over $\mathbf{Q}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) = x^6 &+ (-1068k - 610)x^5 + (-39744k^3 + 66672k^2 - 20976k + 7536)x^4 \\ &+ (-248832k^5 + 82944k^4 - 198720k^3 + 70560k^2 - 38736k - 2584)x^3 \\ &+ (82944k^4 + 20736k^3 + 63504k^2 + 8736k - 2548)x^2 \\ &+ (41472k^4 + 22464k^3 + 32544k^2 + 11664k + 600)x \\ &+ (20736k^4 + 5184k^3 + 15840k^2 + 3312k + 1592). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\beta_t/2$ is an integer of K_t. Then from $q(x)$ we see that

$$2^6 \mid 20736k^4 + 5184k^3 + 15840k^2 + 3312k + 1592.$$

This is clearly impossible as $2^4 \mid 20736k^4 + 5184k^3 + 15840k^2 + 3312k$ but $2^3 \| 1592$. Hence $\beta_t/2 \notin O_{K_t}$.

For $l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ let α_l be a minimal integer in θ_t of degree l, see [**1**, Definition 7.2.1, p. 164]. Then $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\}$ is an integral basis for K_t [**1**, Theorem 7.2.7, p. 168]. Each α_l is of the form

$$\alpha_l = \frac{a_{l,0} + a_{l,1}\theta_t + \cdots + a_{l,l-1}\theta_t^{l-1} + \theta_t^l}{d_l},$$

where $a_{l,0}, a_{l,1}, \ldots, a_{l,l-1} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $d_l \in \mathbf{N}$, so that $d_0 = 1$ [**1**, Theorem 7.2.6, p. 166]. Moreover $d_0 d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5 = \text{ind } \theta_t$ [**1**, Theorem 7.2.8, p. 169]. If $2 | d_l$ for some $l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ then

$$\frac{d_l}{2}\theta_t^{5-l}\alpha_l = \frac{a_{l,0}\theta_t^{5-l} + \cdots + \theta_t^5}{2} \in O_{K_t},$$

which is a contradiction in view of what we proved first. Hence $2 \nmid d_l$ $(l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. Thus $2 \nmid \text{ind } \theta_t$. But $2^3 \| g(t)$ and

$$g(t)^2 = \text{disc}(F_t(x)) = (\text{ind } \theta_t)^2 d(K_t)$$

so $2^6 \| d(K_t)$. □

4. Proof of Theorem

By a theorem of Erdös [7] there are infinitely many integers t such that $g(t)$ is squarefree. These integers are necessarily odd, as is $g(t)$. Let p be any prime number dividing $\text{disc}(F_t(x))$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\text{disc}(F_t(x)) = g(t)^2$. Thus, as $g(t)$ is odd and squarefree, we have $p \neq 2$ and $p^2 \| \text{disc}(F_t(x))$. From Lemma 3.2 we deduce that $p \mid d(K_t)$. Then, from $\text{disc}(F_t(x)) = \text{ind}(\theta_t)^2 d(K_t)$, we see that $p^2 \mid d(K_t)$. Thus $\text{disc}(F_t(x)) = d(K_t)$ and $\text{ind}(\theta_t) = 1$ so $\{1, \theta_t, \theta_t^2, \theta_t^3, \theta_t^4, \theta_t^5\}$ is a power basis for O_{K_t}.

Again, by Erdös' theorem, there are infinitely many integers k such that $w(k) = 729 \cdot 2^3k^3 + 522 \cdot 2k^2 + 894k + 331$ is squarefree and necessarily odd. Hence there are infinitely many integers $t = 4k$ such that $\dfrac{g(t)}{8} = \dfrac{g(4k)}{8} = w(k)$ is squarefree and odd. Exactly as in the previous case, the powers of any odd prime p in $\text{disc}(F_t(x))$ and $d(K_t)$ are both 2. By Lemmas 2.2 and 3.3 we have $2^6 \| \text{disc}(F_t(x))$ and $2^6 \| d(K_t)$. Hence $\text{disc}(F_t(x)) = d(K_t)$ and $\text{ind}(\theta_t) = 1$ so $\{1, \theta_t, \theta_t^2, \theta_t^3, \theta_t^4, \theta_t^5\}$ is a power basis for O_{K_t}.

Finally, as $g(t) = \pm g(u)$ has at most six solutions u for a given integer t, we can pick an infinite subsequence of the original sequence of t's for which $g(t)$ or $g(t)/8$ is squarefree and odd in such a way that the sextic fields K_t are distinct.

5. Other power bases

Let $t(\neq 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $g(t)$ or $g(t)/8$ is squarefree and odd then $K_t = \mathbf{Q}(\theta_t)$ has an additional power basis, namely $\{1, \beta_t, \ldots, \beta_t^5\}$, where

$$\beta_t = \theta_t - 3t\theta_t^2 + 2\theta_t^3 - 4\theta_t^4 + \theta_t^5,$$

since the minimial polynomial of β_t is

$$x^6 + 10x^5 + 41x^4 + (88 + 3t)x^3 + (106 + 18t)x^2 + (76 + 36t)x + (33 + 24t),$$

whose discriminant is $g(t)^2$.

In the case $t = -1$, we have, setting $\theta = \theta_{-1}$, additional power basis generators $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_8$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= 5\theta + 5\theta^2 - 2\theta^3 - 3\theta^4 + \theta^5,\\
\gamma_2 &= -\theta + 7\theta^2 + 10\theta^3 - 13\theta^4 + 3\theta^5,\\
\gamma_3 &= \theta - 3\theta^2 + \theta^3,\\
\gamma_4 &= \theta - 4\theta^2 - 2\theta^3 + 4\theta^4 - \theta^5,\\
\gamma_5 &= \theta^2 - 4\theta^3 + 7\theta^4 - 2\theta^5,\\
\gamma_6 &= -6\theta^3 + 5\theta^4 - \theta^5,\\
\gamma_7 &= 2\theta^3 - \theta^4,\\
\gamma_8 &= \theta + \theta^2 + 2\theta^3 + 2\theta^4 - \theta^5.
\end{aligned}$$

If $t = -2$ we have, setting $\theta = \theta_{-2}$, an additional power basis generator γ given by

$$\gamma = 2\theta - \theta^2 + 7\theta^3 - 5\theta^4 + \theta^5.$$

We do not know if there are any further power bases.

The authors would like to thank an unknown referee for his/her valuable comments on this paper.

References

[1] S. Alaca and K. S. Williams, Introductory Algebraic Number Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[2] H. Anai and T. Kondo, A family of sextic polynomials with Galois group A_5—the computation of splitting fields and Galois groups, Studies in the Theory of Computer Algebra and its Applications, Kyoto, 1995.

[3] G. Archinard, Extensions cubiques cycliques de **Q** dont l'anneau des entiers est monogène, Enseignement Math. **20** (1974), 179–203.

[4] H. Cohen, A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[5] R. Dedekind, Über den Zusammenhang zwischen der Theorie der Ideale und der Theorie der höheren Kongruenzen, Abh. Kgl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen **23** (1878), 1–23.

[6] D. S. Dummit and H. Kisilevsky, Indices in cyclic cubic fields, in "Number Theory and Algebra", Academic Press, 1977, 29–42.

[7] P. Erdös, Arithmetic properties of polynomials, J. London Math. Soc. **28** (1953), 416–425.

[8] T. Funakura, On integral bases of pure quartic fields, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **26** (1984), 27–41.

[9] M.-N. Gras, Sur les corps cubiques cycliques dont l'anneau des entiers monogène, Ann. Sci. Univ. Besançon **3**, No. 6, 26 pp, 1973.

[10] M.-N. Gras, Lien entre le groupe des unités et la monogéneite des corps cubiques cycliques, Ann. Sci. Univ. Besançon No. 1, 19 pp, 1975–76.

[11] M.-N. Gras, Non monogénéité de l'anneau des entiers des extensions cycliques de **Q** de degré premier $l \geq 5$, J. Number Theory **23** (1986), 347–353.

[12] T. R. Hagedorn, General formulas for solving solvable sextic equations, J. Algebra **233** (2000), 704–757.

[13] J. G. Huard, B. K. Spearman and K. S. Williams, Integral bases for quartic fields with quadratic subfields, J. Number Theory **51** (1995), 87–102.

[14] C. U. Jensen, A. Ledet and N. Yui, Generic polynomials, Constructive Aspects of the Inverse Galois Problem, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[15] M. J. Lavallee, B. K. Spearman, K. S. Williams and Q. Yang, Dihedral quintic fields with a power basis, Math. J. Okayama Univ., to appear.

[16] T. Nakahara, On the indices and integral bases of noncyclic but abelian biquadratic fields, Arch. Math. (Basel) **41** (1983), 504–508.

[17] B. K. Spearman and K. S. Williams, Cubic fields with a power basis, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **31** (2001), 1103–1109.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Okanagan University College
Kelowna
B.C. Canada V1V 1V7

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Okanagan University College
Kelowna
B.C. Canada V1V 1V7

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Carleton University
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1S 5B6