

CHAPTER 3, QUESTION 8

8. If M_1, \dots, M_n are $n(\geq 1)$ nonempty subsets of an R -module M , we define

$$M_1 + \dots + M_n = \{m_1 + \dots + m_n \mid m_i \in M_i\}.$$

If M_1, \dots, M_n are submodules of M prove that $M_1 + \dots + M_n$ is a submodule of M .

Solution. First, as M_1, \dots, M_n are submodules of the R -module M , M_1, \dots, M_n are nonempty subsets of M . Thus

$$M_1 + \dots + M_n \text{ is a nonempty subset of } M. \quad (1)$$

Secondly, as M_1, \dots, M_n are submodules of M , we have $0 \in M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus

$$0 = 0 + \dots + 0 \in M_1 + \dots + M_n. \quad (2)$$

Thirdly, let $m, m' \in M_1 + \dots + M_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m &= m_1 + \dots + m_n, & m_i &\in M_i, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ m' &= m'_1 + \dots + m'_n, & m'_i &\in M_i, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$m - m' = (m_1 - m'_1) + \dots + (m_n - m'_n).$$

As M_i is a submodule of M , we have $m_i - m'_i \in M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus

$$m - m' \in M_1 + \dots + M_n, \text{ for all } m, m' \in M_1 + \dots + M_n. \quad (3)$$

Finally let $r \in R$ and $m \in M_1 + \dots + M_n$. Then

$$m = m_1 + \dots + m_n, \quad m_i \in M_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence

$$rm = r(m_1 + \dots + m_n) = rm_1 + \dots + rm_n.$$

As M_i is a submodule of the R -module M_i , we have $rm_i \in M_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus

$$rm \in M_1 + \dots + M_n \text{ for all } r \in R \text{ and all } m \in M_1 + \dots + M_n. \quad (4)$$

2

From (1), (2), (3), (4) and the result of the Question 6, we deduce that $M_1 + \cdots + M_n$ is a submodule of M . ■

June 22, 2004